7 Displacement of reference points (01 February 2018)

Models describing the displacements of reference points due to various effects are provided. In the following, three kinds of displacements are distinguished:

- Conventional displacements of reference markers on the crust (see Section 7.1) relate the regularized positions $X_R(t)$ of the reference points (see Chapter 4) to their conventional instantaneous positions. Generally these conventional instantaneous positions are used in data analyses as a priori coordinates for subsequent adjustment of observational data. They include tidal motions (mostly near diurnal and semidiurnal frequencies) and other accurately modeled displacements of reference markers (mostly at longer periods);
- Other displacements of reference markers (Section 7.2, presently, at the time of publication, under development) include non-tidal motions associated with changing environmental loads (very broad spectral content);
- Displacements that affect the internal reference points within the observing instruments, which are generally technique-dependent, are mentioned in Section 7.3.

The first two categories of displacements are described by geophysical models or gridded convolution results derived from geophysical models. The last category includes empirical physical effects that have been demonstrated to affect geodetic observing instruments.

As the non-tidal load displacements (Section 7.2) normally change very little over typical integration spans and because models for these effects are usually less accurate, it is generally recommended that they not be included in computing conventional instantaneous positions. Instead, the corresponding non-tidal loading effects will remain as signals embedded in the geodetic time series results. These signals can be extracted and compared with the model results referenced here in post-analysis studies.

In combinations of diverse analysis results, it is particularly important that equivalent displacement models are applied for like effects. Non-tidal load displacements should be consistently excluded from the conventional instantaneous positions, as recommended here, or else the same geophysical loading models together with the same environmental inputs should be applied.

7.1 Models for conventional displacement of reference markers on the crust

This section describes conventional models for displacement due to the body tides arising from the direct effect of the external tide generating potential (7.1.1), displacement due to ocean tidal loading (7.1.2) and due to diurnal and semidiurnal atmospheric pressure loading (7.1.3), displacement due to the centrifugal perturbations caused by Earth rotation variations, including the pole tide (7.1.4) and the loading caused by the ocean pole tide (7.1.5).

7.1.1 Effects of the solid Earth tides

7.1.1.1 Conventional model for solid Earth tides

Site displacements caused by tides of spherical harmonic degree and order $(nm)$ are characterized by the Love number $h_{nm}$ and the Shida number $l_{nm}$. The effective values of these numbers depend on station latitude and tidal frequency (Wahr, 1981). The latitude dependence and a small interband variation are caused by the Earth’s ellipticity and the Coriolis force due to Earth rotation. A strong frequency dependence within the diurnal band is produced by the Nearly Diurnal Free Wobble resonance associated with the FCN in the wobbles of the Earth and its core regions which contribute to the tidal deformations via their centrifugal effects. Additionally, the resonance in the deformation due to ocean tidal loading, which is not included in the computations of the last section which use constant load Love numbers, may be represented in terms of effective contributions to $h_{21}$ and $l_{21}$. A further frequency dependence, which is most pronounced in the long-period tidal band, arises from mantle anelasticity leading to corrections to the elastic Earth Love numbers. The contributions to
the Love number parameters from anelasticity and ocean tidal loading as well as those from the centrifugal perturbations due to the wobbles have imaginary parts which cause the tidal displacements to lag slightly behind the tide generating potential. All these effects need to be taken into account when an accuracy of 1 mm is desired in determining station positions.

In order to account for the latitude dependence of the effective Love and Shida numbers, the representation in terms of multiple $h$ and $l$ parameters employed by Mathews et al. (1995) is used. In this representation, parameters $h(0)$ and $l(0)$ play the roles of $h_{2m}$ and $l_{2m}$, while the latitude dependence is expressed in terms of additional parameters $h(2), h', l(1), l(2), l'$. These parameters are defined through their contributions to the site displacement as given by equations (1a-1c) below. Their numerical values as listed in the Conventions 1996 have since been revised, and the new values presented in Table 7.2 are used here. These values pertain to the elastic Earth and anelasticity models referred to in Chapter 6.

The vector displacement $\Delta \vec{r}_f$ due to a tidal term of frequency $f$ is given by the following expressions that result from evaluation of the defining equation (2) of Mathews et al. (1995):

For a long-period tide of frequency $f$:

$$\Delta \vec{r}_f = \sqrt{\frac{5}{4\pi}} H_f \left\{ \begin{array}{l} h(\phi) \left( \frac{3}{2} \sin^2 \phi - \frac{1}{2} \right) + \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{5}} h' \cos \theta_f \hat{r} \\
+ 3l(\phi) \sin \phi \cos \phi \cos \theta_f \hat{n} \\
+ \cos \phi \left[ 3l(1) \sin^2 \phi - \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{5}} l' \right] \sin \theta_f \hat{e} \end{array} \right\}. \quad (1a)$$

For a diurnal tide of frequency $f$:

$$\Delta \vec{r}_f = -\sqrt{\frac{5}{24\pi}} H_f \left\{ \begin{array}{l} h(\phi)3 \sin \phi \cos \phi \sin(\theta_f + \lambda) \hat{r} \\
+ 3l(\phi) \cos 2\phi - 3l(1) \sin^2 \phi + \sqrt{\frac{24\pi}{5}} l' \sin(\theta_f + \lambda) \hat{n} \\
+ \left[ \left( 3l(\phi) - \sqrt{\frac{24\pi}{5}} l' \right) \sin \phi - 3l(1) \sin \phi \cos 2\phi \right] \cos(\theta_f + \lambda) \hat{e} \end{array} \right\}. \quad (1b)$$

For a semidiurnal tide of frequency $f$:

$$\Delta \vec{r}_f = \sqrt{\frac{5}{96\pi}} H_f \left\{ \begin{array}{l} h(\phi)3 \cos^2 \phi \cos(\theta_f + 2\lambda) \hat{r} \\
-6 \sin \phi \cos \phi \left[ l(\phi) + l(1) \right] \cos(\theta_f + 2\lambda) \hat{n} \\
-6 \cos \phi \left[ l(\phi) + l(1) \sin^2 \phi \right] \sin(\theta_f + 2\lambda) \hat{e} \end{array} \right\}. \quad (1c)$$

In the above expressions,

$$h(\phi) = h(0) + h(2)(3 \sin^2 \phi - 1)/2,$$
$$l(\phi) = l(0) + l(2)(3 \sin^2 \phi - 1)/2,$$

$H_f = \text{amplitude (m) of the tidal term of frequency } f,$
$\phi = \text{geocentric latitude of station},$
$\lambda = \text{east longitude of station},$
$\theta_f = \text{tide argument for tidal constituent with frequency } f,$
$\hat{r} = \text{unit vector in radial direction},$
$\hat{e} = \text{unit vector in east direction},$
$\hat{n} = \text{unit vector perpendicular to } \hat{r} \text{ in the northward direction}.$
The convention used in defining the tidal amplitude $H_f$ is the one from Cartwright and Tayler (1971). To convert amplitudes defined according to other conventions that have been employed in recent more accurate tables, use the conversion factors given in Chapter 6, Table 6.8.

Equations (1) assume that the Love and Shida number parameters are all real. Generalization to the case of complex parameters is done simply by making the following replacements for the combinations $L \cos(\theta_f + m\lambda)$ and $L \sin(\theta_f + m\lambda)$, wherever they occur in those equations:

$$L \cos(\theta_f + m\lambda) \rightarrow L^R \cos(\theta_f + m\lambda) - L^I \sin(\theta_f + m\lambda),$$

(3a)

$$L \sin(\theta_f + m\lambda) \rightarrow L^R \sin(\theta_f + m\lambda) + L^I \cos(\theta_f + m\lambda),$$

(3b)

where $L$ is a generic symbol for $h^{(0)}, h^{(2)}, h', l^{(0)}, l^{(1)}, l^{(2)}$, and $l'$, and where $L^R$ and $L^I$ stand for their respective real and imaginary parts.

The complex values of these 7 parameters are computed for the diurnal body tides from resonance formulae of the form given in Equation (9) of Chapter 6 using the values listed in Equation (10) of that chapter for the resonance frequencies $\sigma_\alpha$ and those listed in Table 7.1 for the coefficients $L_\alpha$ and $L'_\alpha$ relating to each of the multiple $h$ and $l$ Love/Shida numbers. The manner in which $\sigma_\alpha$ and $L_\alpha$ were computed is explained in Chapter 6, where mention is also made of the models used for the elastic Earth and for mantle anelasticity. As was noted in that chapter, the frequency dependence of the ocean tide contributions to certain Earth parameters in the equations of motion for the wobbles has the effect of making the resonance formulae inexact. The difference between the exact and resonance formula values is included in the tabulated values of $h_{21}^{(0)}$ and $l_{21}^{(0)}$ in Table 7.2. (The only case where this difference makes a contribution above the cut-off in Table 7.3a is in the radial displacement due to the $\psi_1$ tide.) Also included in the values listed in Table 7.2 are the resonant ocean tidal loading corrections outlined in the next paragraph.

Table 7.1: Parameters in the resonance formulae for the displacement Love numbers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$\text{Re } L_\alpha$</th>
<th>$\text{Im } L_\alpha$</th>
<th>$\text{Re } L_\alpha$</th>
<th>$\text{Im } L_\alpha$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>.60671 × 10^{+0}</td>
<td>-.2420 × 10^{-2}</td>
<td>-.615 × 10^{-3}</td>
<td>-.122 × 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-.15777 × 10^{-2}</td>
<td>-.7630 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>.160 × 10^{-5}</td>
<td>.116 × 10^{-6}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>.18053 × 10^{-3}</td>
<td>-.6292 × 10^{-5}</td>
<td>.201 × 10^{-6}</td>
<td>.279 × 10^{-8}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-.18616 × 10^{-5}</td>
<td>.1379 × 10^{-6}</td>
<td>-.329 × 10^{-7}</td>
<td>-.217 × 10^{-8}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$\text{Re } L_\alpha$</th>
<th>$\text{Im } L_\alpha$</th>
<th>$\text{Re } L_\alpha$</th>
<th>$\text{Im } L_\alpha$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>.84963 × 10^{-1}</td>
<td>-.7395 × 10^{-3}</td>
<td>.121 × 10^{-2}</td>
<td>.136 × 10^{-6}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-.22107 × 10^{-3}</td>
<td>-.9646 × 10^{-5}</td>
<td>-.316 × 10^{-5}</td>
<td>-.166 × 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-.54710 × 10^{-5}</td>
<td>-.2990 × 10^{-6}</td>
<td>.272 × 10^{-6}</td>
<td>-.858 × 10^{-8}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-.29904 × 10^{-7}</td>
<td>-.7717 × 10^{-8}</td>
<td>-.545 × 10^{-8}</td>
<td>.827 × 10^{-11}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$\text{Re } L_\alpha$</th>
<th>$\text{Im } L_\alpha$</th>
<th>$\text{Re } L_\alpha$</th>
<th>$\text{Im } L_\alpha$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>.19334 × 10^{-3}</td>
<td>-.3819 × 10^{-5}</td>
<td>-.221 × 10^{-3}</td>
<td>-.474 × 10^{-7}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-.50331 × 10^{-6}</td>
<td>-.1639 × 10^{-7}</td>
<td>.576 × 10^{-6}</td>
<td>.303 × 10^{-7}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-.66460 × 10^{-8}</td>
<td>.5076 × 10^{-9}</td>
<td>.128 × 10^{-6}</td>
<td>-.378 × 10^{-8}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>.10372 × 10^{-7}</td>
<td>.7511 × 10^{-9}</td>
<td>-.655 × 10^{-8}</td>
<td>-.291 × 10^{-9}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Site displacements caused by solid Earth deformations due to ocean tidal loading are dealt with in section 7.1.2. Constant nominal values are assumed for the load Love numbers in computing these. The values used for tides of degree 2 are \( h_2^{(\text{nom})} = -1.001 \), \( l_2^{(\text{nom})} = 0.0295 \), \( k_2^{(\text{nom})} = -0.3075 \). Since resonances in the diurnal band also cause the values of the load Love numbers to vary, corrections need to be applied to the results of section 7.1.2. These corrections can be expressed in terms of effective ocean tide contributions \( \delta h^{(\text{OT})} \) and \( \delta l^{(\text{OT})} \) to the respective body tide Love numbers \( h^{(0)} \) and \( l^{(0)} \).

Corrections to the results of the first step are needed to take account of the frequency-dependent deviations of the Love and Shida numbers from their respective nominal values, and also to compute the out-of-phase contributions from the zonal tides. Computations of these corrections constitute Step 2. The total displacement due to the tidal potential is the sum of the displacements computed in Steps 1 and 2.

The full scheme of computations is outlined in the chart on page 6.
Table 7.2: Displacement Love number parameters for degree 2 tides. Superscripts $R$ and $I$ identify the real and imaginary parts, respectively. Periods are given in solar days and frequencies in cpsd.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>$h^{(0)}R$</th>
<th>$h^{(0)}I$</th>
<th>$h^{(2)}$</th>
<th>$h'$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Semidiurnal</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0.6078</td>
<td>-0.0022</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diurnal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2Q1</td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td>0.85461</td>
<td>0.6039</td>
<td>-0.0027</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_1$</td>
<td>7.10</td>
<td>0.85946</td>
<td>0.6039</td>
<td>-0.0026</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135,645</td>
<td>9.12</td>
<td>0.89066</td>
<td>0.6036</td>
<td>-0.0026</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>9.13</td>
<td>0.89080</td>
<td>0.6036</td>
<td>-0.0026</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_1$</td>
<td>9.56</td>
<td>0.89565</td>
<td>0.6035</td>
<td>-0.0026</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145,545</td>
<td>13.63</td>
<td>0.92685</td>
<td>0.6028</td>
<td>-0.0025</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1</td>
<td>13.66</td>
<td>0.92700</td>
<td>0.6028</td>
<td>-0.0025</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_1$</td>
<td>14.77</td>
<td>0.93246</td>
<td>0.6026</td>
<td>-0.0025</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N$\tau_1$</td>
<td>23.94</td>
<td>0.95835</td>
<td>0.6011</td>
<td>-0.0024</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N$\sigma_1$</td>
<td>27.55</td>
<td>0.96381</td>
<td>0.6005</td>
<td>-0.0023</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\chi_1$</td>
<td>31.81</td>
<td>0.96865</td>
<td>0.5998</td>
<td>-0.0023</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\pi_1$</td>
<td>121.75</td>
<td>0.99181</td>
<td>0.5878</td>
<td>-0.0026</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_1$</td>
<td>145,545</td>
<td>13.63</td>
<td>0.92685</td>
<td>0.6028</td>
<td>-0.0025</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_1$</td>
<td>164,545</td>
<td>6798.38</td>
<td>0.99985</td>
<td>0.5283</td>
<td>-0.0023</td>
<td>-0.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\psi_1$</td>
<td>-365.26</td>
<td>1.00273</td>
<td>0.5182</td>
<td>-0.0036</td>
<td>-0.0007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_1$</td>
<td>-346.64</td>
<td>1.00288</td>
<td>0.9387</td>
<td>-0.0055</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta_1$</td>
<td>-31.81</td>
<td>1.03135</td>
<td>0.6117</td>
<td>-0.0030</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J_1$</td>
<td>-27.55</td>
<td>1.03619</td>
<td>0.6108</td>
<td>-0.0030</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$O_01$</td>
<td>-13.66</td>
<td>1.07300</td>
<td>0.6080</td>
<td>-0.0028</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long period</td>
<td>55,565</td>
<td>6798.38</td>
<td>0.00147</td>
<td>0.6344</td>
<td>-0.0093</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{sa}$</td>
<td>182.62</td>
<td>0.005461</td>
<td>0.6182</td>
<td>-0.0054</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{m}$</td>
<td>27.55</td>
<td>0.036193</td>
<td>0.6126</td>
<td>-0.0041</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_f$</td>
<td>13.66</td>
<td>0.073002</td>
<td>0.6109</td>
<td>-0.0037</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75,565</td>
<td>13.63</td>
<td>0.073149</td>
<td>0.6109</td>
<td>-0.0037</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>$l^{(0)}R$</th>
<th>$l^{(0)}I$</th>
<th>$l^{(1)}$</th>
<th>$l^{(2)}$</th>
<th>$l'$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Semidiurnal</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0.847</td>
<td>-0.0007</td>
<td>0.0024</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diurnal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>9.13</td>
<td>0.89080</td>
<td>0.846</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>-0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145,545</td>
<td>13.63</td>
<td>0.92685</td>
<td>0.846</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>-0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1</td>
<td>13.66</td>
<td>0.92700</td>
<td>0.846</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>-0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N$\sigma_1$</td>
<td>27.55</td>
<td>0.96381</td>
<td>0.847</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>-0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P$\sigma_1$</td>
<td>182.62</td>
<td>0.99454</td>
<td>0.853</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>-0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165,545</td>
<td>6798.38</td>
<td>0.99985</td>
<td>0.869</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>-0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$\sigma_1$</td>
<td>infinity</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td>0.870</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>-0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165,565</td>
<td>-6798.38</td>
<td>1.00015</td>
<td>0.872</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>-0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\psi_1$</td>
<td>-365.26</td>
<td>1.00273</td>
<td>0.871</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>-0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_1$</td>
<td>-182.62</td>
<td>1.00546</td>
<td>0.871</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>-0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J_1$</td>
<td>-27.55</td>
<td>1.03619</td>
<td>0.871</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>-0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$O_01$</td>
<td>-13.66</td>
<td>1.07300</td>
<td>0.871</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>-0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long period</td>
<td>55,565</td>
<td>6798.38</td>
<td>0.000147</td>
<td>0.6344</td>
<td>-0.0093</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{sa}$</td>
<td>182.62</td>
<td>0.005461</td>
<td>0.6182</td>
<td>-0.0054</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{m}$</td>
<td>27.55</td>
<td>0.036193</td>
<td>0.6126</td>
<td>-0.0041</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_f$</td>
<td>13.66</td>
<td>0.073002</td>
<td>0.6109</td>
<td>-0.0037</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75,565</td>
<td>13.63</td>
<td>0.073149</td>
<td>0.6109</td>
<td>-0.0037</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**CORRECTIONS FOR THE STATION TIDAL DISPLACEMENTS**

**Step 1:** Corrections to be computed in the time domain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>in-phase</th>
<th>for degree 2 and 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nominal values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$h_2 \rightarrow h(\phi) = h^{(0)} + h^{(2)}[3\sin^2 \phi - 1]/2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$l_2 \rightarrow l(\phi) = l^{(0)} + l^{(2)}[3\sin^2 \phi - 1]/2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$h^{(0)} = 0.6078, h^{(2)} = -0.0006; l^{(0)} = 0.0847, l^{(2)} = 0.0002$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>out-of-phase</th>
<th>for degree 2 only</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nominal values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$h^I = -0.0025$ and $l^I = -0.0007$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$h^I = -0.0022$ and $l^I = -0.0007$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>contribution</th>
<th>from latitude dependence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nominal values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$l^{(1)} = 0.0012$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$l^{(1)} = 0.0024$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Step 2:** Corrections to be computed in the frequency domain and to be added to the results of Step 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>in-phase</th>
<th>for degree 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>→ Sum over all the components of Table 7.3a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>→ negligible</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>in-phase</th>
<th>and out-of-phase for degree 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>→ Sum over all the components of Table 7.3b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Displacement due to degree 2 tides, with nominal values for $h^{(0)}_{2m}$ and $l^{(0)}_{2m}$**

The first stage of the Step 1 calculations employs real nominal values $h_2$ and $l_2$ common to all the degree 2 tides for the Love and Shida numbers. It is found to be computationally most economical to choose these to be the values for the semidiurnal tides (which have very little intra-band variation). On using the nominal values, the displacement vector of the station due to the degree 2 tides is given by

$$
\Delta \vec{r} = \sum_{j=2}^{3} \frac{GM_j R_j^3}{GM_{E} R_e^3} \left[ h_2 \hat{r} \left( 3(\hat{R}_j \cdot \hat{r})^2 - 1 \right) + 3l_2(\hat{R}_j \cdot \hat{r}) \left[ \hat{R}_j - (\hat{R}_j \cdot \hat{r}) \hat{r} \right] \right],
$$

where $h^{(0)}_2$ and $l^{(0)}_2$ of the semidiurnal tides are chosen as the nominal values $h_2$ and $l_2$. The out-of-phase displacements due to the imaginary parts of the Love numbers are dealt with separately below. In Equation (5),

- $GM_j$ = gravitational parameter for the Moon ($j = 2$) or the Sun ($j = 3$),
- $GM_{E}$ = gravitational parameter for the Earth,
- $\hat{R}_j, R_j$ = unit vector from the geocenter to Moon or Sun and the magnitude of that vector,
- $R_e$ = Earth’s equatorial radius,
- $\hat{r}, r$ = unit vector from the geocenter to the station and the magnitude of that vector,
- $h_2$ = nominal degree 2 Love number,
- $l_2$ = nominal degree 2 Shida number.

Note that the part proportional to $h_2$ gives the radial (not vertical) component of the tide-induced station displacement, and the terms in $l_2$ represent the vector displacement perpendicular to the radial direction (and not in the horizontal plane).

The computation just described may be generalized to include the latitude dependence arising through $h^{(2)}$ by simply adding $h^{(2)} \left[3\sin^2 \phi - 1\right]/2$ to the constant nominal value given above, with $h^{(2)} = -0.0006$. The addition of a similar term (with $l^{(2)} = 0.0002$) to the nominal value of $l_2$ takes care of the corresponding contribution to the transverse displacement. The resulting incremental displacements are small, not exceeding $0.4$ mm radially and $0.2$ mm in the transverse direction.
Displacement due to degree 3 tides

The Love numbers of the degree 3 tides may be taken as real and constant in computations to the degree of accuracy aimed at here. The displacement vector due to these tides is then given by

$$\Delta \mathbf{r} = \sum_{j=2}^{3} \frac{GM_j R_j^5}{GM_\oplus R_\oplus^3} \left\{ h_3 \mathbf{r} \left( \frac{5}{2} (\mathbf{\hat{r}}_j \cdot \mathbf{r})^3 - \frac{3}{2} (\mathbf{\hat{r}}_j \cdot \mathbf{r}) \right) + t_3 \left( \frac{15}{2} (\mathbf{\hat{r}}_j \cdot \mathbf{r})^2 - \frac{3}{2} \right) \left[ \mathbf{\hat{r}}_j - (\mathbf{\hat{r}}_j \cdot \mathbf{r}) \mathbf{r} \right] \right\}. \quad (6)$$

Only the Moon’s contribution ($j = 2$) needs to be computed, the term due to the Sun being negligible. The transverse part of the displacement (6) does not exceed 0.2 mm, but the radial displacement can reach 1.7 mm.

Contributions to the transverse displacement due to the $l^{(1)}$ term

The imaginary part of $l^{(1)}$ is negligible, as is the intra-band variation of Re $l^{(1)}$; and $l^{(1)}$ is effectively zero in the zonal band.

In the expressions given below, and elsewhere in this chapter,

- $\Phi_j$ = body fixed geocentric latitude of Moon or Sun, and
- $\lambda_j$ = body fixed east longitude (from Greenwich) of Moon or Sun.

The following formulae may be employed when the use of Cartesian coordinates $X_j, Y_j, Z_j$ of the body relative to the terrestrial reference frame is preferred:

$$P_0^0(\sin \Phi_j) = \frac{1}{R_j^3} \left( \frac{3}{2} Z_j^2 - \frac{1}{2} R_j^2 \right), \quad (7a)$$

$$P_1^0(\sin \Phi_j) \cos \lambda_j = \frac{3X_j Z_j}{R_j^5},$$

$$P_1^0(\sin \Phi_j) \sin \lambda_j = \frac{3Y_j Z_j}{R_j^5}, \quad (7b)$$

$$P_2^0(\sin \Phi_j) \cos 2\lambda_j = \frac{3}{R_j^5} (X_j^2 - Y_j^2),$$

$$P_2^0(\sin \Phi_j) \sin 2\lambda_j = \frac{6}{R_j^5} X_j Y_j. \quad (7c)$$

Contribution from the diurnal band (with $l^{(1)} = 0.0012$):

$$\delta \mathbf{t} = -l^{(1)} \sin \phi \sum_{j=2}^{3} \frac{GM_j R_j^4}{GM_\oplus R_\oplus^3} P_j^0(\sin \Phi_j) [\sin \phi \cos(\lambda - \lambda_j) \mathbf{n} - \cos \phi \sin(\lambda - \lambda_j) \mathbf{e}] . \quad (8)$$

Contribution from the semidiurnal band (with $l^{(1)} = 0.0024$):

$$\delta \mathbf{t} = -\frac{1}{2} l^{(1)} \sin \phi \cos \phi \sum_{j=2}^{3} \frac{GM_j R_j^4}{GM_\oplus R_\oplus^3} P_j^2(\sin \Phi_j) [\cos 2(\lambda - \lambda_j) \mathbf{n} + \sin \phi \sin 2(\lambda - \lambda_j) \mathbf{e}] . \quad (9)$$

The contributions of the $l^{(1)}$ term to the transverse displacement caused by the diurnal and semidiurnal tides could be up to 0.8 mm and 1.0 mm, respectively.

Out-of-phase contributions from the imaginary parts of $h_{2m}^{(0)}$ and $l_{2m}^{(0)}$

In the following, $h^t$ and $l^t$ stand for the imaginary parts of $h_{2m}^{(0)}$ and $l_{2m}^{(0)}$.

Contributions $\delta \mathbf{r}$ to radial and $\delta \mathbf{t}$ to transverse displacements from diurnal tides (with $h^t = -0.0025, l^t = -0.0007$):

$$\delta \mathbf{r} = -\frac{3}{4} h^t \sum_{j=2}^{3} \frac{GM_j R_j^4}{GM_\oplus R_\oplus^3} \sin 2\Phi_j \sin 2\phi \sin(\lambda - \lambda_j), \quad (10a)$$

$$\delta \mathbf{t} = \frac{3}{2} l^t \sum_{j=2}^{3} \frac{GM_j R_j^4}{GM_\oplus R_\oplus^3} \sin 2\Phi_j [\cos 2\phi \sin(\lambda - \lambda_j) \mathbf{n} + \sin \phi \cos(\lambda - \lambda_j) \mathbf{e}] . \quad (10b)$$
Contributions from semidiurnal tides (with \( h^I = -0.0022 \), \( l^I = -0.0007 \)):

\[
\delta r = \frac{3}{4} h^I \sum_{j=2}^{3} \frac{GM_j R_j^4}{GM R_0^3} \cos^2 \Phi_j \cos^2 \phi \sin 2(\lambda - \lambda_j),
\]

(11a)

\[
\delta \vec{t} = \frac{3}{4} l^I \sum_{j=2}^{3} \frac{GM_j R_j^4}{GM R_0^3} \cos^2 \Phi_j \left[ \sin 2\phi \sin 2(\lambda - \lambda_j) \hat{n} - 2 \cos \phi \cos 2(\lambda - \lambda_j) \hat{e} \right].
\]

(11b)

The out-of-phase contribution from the zonal tides has no closed expression in the time domain.

Computations of Step 2 take account of the intra-band variation of \( h_{2m}^{(0)} \) and \( l_{2m}^{(0)} \). Variations of the imaginary parts are negligible except as stated below (see Table 7.3a). For the zonal tides, however, the contributions from the imaginary part have to be computed in Step 2.

**Correction for frequency dependence of the Love and Shida numbers**

(a) Contributions from the diurnal band

Corrections, which include both in-phase (ip) and out-of-phase (op) parts, to the radial and transverse station displacements \( \delta r \) and \( \delta \vec{t} \) due to a diurnal tidal term of frequency \( f \) are obtainable from Equation (1b):

\[
\delta r = [\delta R_f^{(ip)} \sin(\theta_f + \lambda) + \delta R_f^{(op)} \cos(\theta_f + \lambda)] \sin 2\phi,
\]

(12a)

\[
\delta \vec{t} = [\delta T_f^{(ip)} \cos(\theta_f + \lambda) - \delta T_f^{(op)} \sin(\theta_f + \lambda)] \sin \phi \hat{e} + [\delta T_f^{(ip)} \sin(\theta_f + \lambda) + \delta T_f^{(op)} \cos(\theta_f + \lambda)] \cos 2\phi \hat{n},
\]

(12b)

where

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\delta R_f^{(ip)} \\
\delta R_f^{(op)}
\end{pmatrix} = -\frac{3}{2} \sqrt{\frac{5}{24\pi}} H_f \begin{pmatrix}
\delta h_f^R \\
\delta h_f^I
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\delta T_f^{(ip)} \\
\delta T_f^{(op)}
\end{pmatrix} = -3 \sqrt{\frac{5}{24\pi}} H_f \begin{pmatrix}
\delta l_f^R \\
\delta l_f^I
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(12c)

and

\( \delta h_f^R \) and \( \delta h_f^I \) are the differences of \( h^{(0)}R \) and \( h^{(0)}I \) at frequency \( f \) from the nominal values \( h_2 \) and \( h^I \) used in Equations (5) and (10a), respectively,

\( \delta l_f^R \) and \( \delta l_f^I \) are the differences of \( l^{(0)}R \) and \( l^{(0)}I \) at frequency \( f \) from the nominal values \( l_2 \) and \( l^I \) used in Equations (5) and (10b), respectively.

(b) Contributions from the long-period band

Corrections \( \delta r \) and \( \delta \vec{t} \) due to a zonal tidal term of frequency \( f \) include both ip and op parts. From Equations (1a) and (3) one finds

\[
\delta r = \left( \frac{3}{2} \sin^2 \phi - \frac{1}{2} \right) (\delta R_f^{(ip)} \cos \theta_f + \delta R_f^{(op)} \sin \theta_f),
\]

(13a)

\[
\delta \vec{t} = (\delta T_f^{(ip)} \cos \theta_f + \delta T_f^{(op)} \sin \theta_f) \sin 2\phi \hat{n},
\]

(13b)

where

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\delta R_f^{(ip)} \\
\delta R_f^{(op)}
\end{pmatrix} = \sqrt{\frac{5}{2\pi}} H_f \begin{pmatrix}
\delta h_f^R \\
-\delta h_f^I
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\delta T_f^{(ip)} \\
\delta T_f^{(op)}
\end{pmatrix} = \frac{3}{2} \sqrt{\frac{5}{2\pi}} H_f \begin{pmatrix}
\delta l_f^R \\
-\delta l_f^I
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

(13c)
Table 7.3a: Corrections due to the frequency dependence of Love and Shida numbers for diurnal tides.  
Units: mm. All terms with radial correction \( \geq 0.05 \) mm are shown. Nominal values are \( h_2 = 0.6078 \) and \( l_2 = 0.0847 \) for the real parts, and \( h^I = -0.0025 \) and \( l^I = -0.0007 \) for the imaginary parts. Frequencies are given in degrees per hour.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Doodson</th>
<th>( \tau )</th>
<th>( s )</th>
<th>( h )</th>
<th>( p )</th>
<th>( N' )</th>
<th>( p_\ell )</th>
<th>( D )</th>
<th>( F )</th>
<th>( D )</th>
<th>( \Omega )</th>
<th>( \Delta R_{f}^{(ip)} )</th>
<th>( \Delta R_{f}^{(op)} )</th>
<th>( \Delta T_{f}^{(ip)} )</th>
<th>( \Delta T_{f}^{(op)} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( Q_1 )</td>
<td>13.39866</td>
<td>135,655</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( O_1 )</td>
<td>13.94083</td>
<td>145,545</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( N_0 )</td>
<td>14.49669</td>
<td>155,655</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \pi_1 )</td>
<td>14.91787</td>
<td>162,555</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1.23</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P_1 )</td>
<td>14.95893</td>
<td>163,555</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.51</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Q_1 )</td>
<td>15.03886</td>
<td>165,545</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( K_1 )</td>
<td>15.04107</td>
<td>165,555</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \psi_1 )</td>
<td>15.08214</td>
<td>166,554</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \phi_1 )</td>
<td>15.12321</td>
<td>167,555</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.3b: Corrections due to the frequency dependence of Love and Shida numbers for zonal tides.  
Units: mm. All terms with radial correction \( \geq 0.05 \) mm are shown. Nominal values are \( h = 0.6078 \) and \( l = 0.0847 \). Frequencies are given in degrees per hour.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Doodson</th>
<th>( \tau )</th>
<th>( s )</th>
<th>( h )</th>
<th>( p )</th>
<th>( N' )</th>
<th>( p_\ell )</th>
<th>( D )</th>
<th>( F )</th>
<th>( D )</th>
<th>( \Omega )</th>
<th>( \Delta R_{f}^{(ip)} )</th>
<th>( \Delta R_{f}^{(op)} )</th>
<th>( \Delta T_{f}^{(ip)} )</th>
<th>( \Delta T_{f}^{(op)} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( 0.00221 )</td>
<td>55,565</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S_{sa} )</td>
<td>0.08214</td>
<td>57,555</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( M_{m} )</td>
<td>0.54438</td>
<td>65,455</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( M_{f} )</td>
<td>1.09804</td>
<td>75,555</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 1.10024 )</td>
<td>75,565</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values of \( \Delta R_f \) and \( \Delta T_f \) listed in Table 7.3a and 7.3b are for the constituents that must be taken into account to ensure an accuracy of 1 mm.


7.1.1.2 Permanent deformation

The tidal model described above in principle contains a time-independent part so that the coordinates obtained by taking into account this model in the analysis will be “conventional tide free” values. (Note that they do not correspond to what would be observed in the absence of tidal perturbation. See the discussion in Chapter 1.) This section allows a user to compute “mean tide” coordinates from “conventional tide free” coordinates. Specifically, the degree 2 zonal tide generating potential includes a spectral component of zero frequency and amplitude \( H_0 = -0.31460 \) m, and its effect enters the tidal displacement model through the time-independent component of expression (5). Evaluation of this component may be done using Equations (1a) and (2) with \( H_f = H_0, \theta_f = 0 \), and with the same nominal values for the Love number parameters as were used in Step 1: \( h_2 = 0.6078, l_2 = 0.0847 \) along with \( h^I = -0.0006 \) and \( l^I = 0.0002 \). One finds the radial component of the permanent displacement according to (5) to be

\[
[-0.1206 + 0.0001 P_2(\sin \phi)] P_2(\sin \phi)
\]  

in meters, and the transverse component to be

\[
[-0.0252 - 0.0001 P_2(\sin \phi)] \sin 2\phi
\]  


dehanttideinel.f
in meters northwards, where \( P_2(\sin \phi) = (3 \sin^2 \phi - 1)/2 \).

These are the components of the vector to be added to the “conventional tide free” computed tide-corrected position to obtain the “mean tide” position. The radial component of this restitution to obtain the “mean tide” value amounts to about \(-12\) cm at the poles and about \(+6\) cm at the equator.

7.1.2 Local site displacement due to ocean loading

Ocean tides cause a temporal variation of the ocean mass distribution and the associated load on the crust and produce time-varying deformations of the Earth that can reach 100 nm. The modeling of the associated site displacement is dealt with in this section.

**Note on motion of the center of mass of the solid Earth**

When the solid Earth together with the fluid masses are considered as a system without any external forces acting upon it, the position of the common center of mass remains fixed in space. When a phenomenon, such as the ocean tides, causes displacements of fluid masses, the center of mass of the fluid masses moves periodically and must be compensated by an opposite motion of the center of mass of the solid Earth. The stations, being fixed to the solid Earth, are subject to this counter-motion.

For observing techniques that rely upon the dynamical motion of satellites, which respond to the center of mass of the total Earth system, the modeled motions of crust-fixed stations should include the “geocenter motion” contributions that counterbalance the effects of the fluid components. For other observing techniques, such as VLBI, neglect of geocenter motion should have no observable consequences.

**Models for ocean tidal loading**

The tide generating potential due to the gravitational attraction of the Moon and the Sun can be described by an expansion into a set of tidal harmonics (e.g., Hartmann and Wenzel, 1995; Tamura, 1987; Cartwright and Tayler, 1971; Cartwright and Edden, 1973). The response of the oceans, unlike for the solid Earth, is strongly dependent on local and regional conditions that affect fluid flow. Closed-form analytical expressions are not adequate to describe the ocean tidal response globally. Instead, gridded formulations are needed. Table 7.4 lists the leading global ocean tidal models that have been developed since Schwiderski and Szeto (1981). Most modern models assimilate sea surface height measurements made by altimetry satellites.

The crustal loading at a particular location due to a given tidal harmonic is computed by integrating the tide height with a weighting function (Green’s function, see Farrell, 1972), carrying the integration over all the ocean masses. The total loading may be obtained by summing the effect of all harmonics. In practice, the three-dimensional site displacements due to ocean tidal loading are computed using the following scheme. Let \( \Delta c \) denote a displacement component (radial, west, south) at a particular site at time \( t \). \( \Delta c \) is obtained as

\[
\Delta c = \sum_j A_{cj} \cos(\chi_j(t) - \phi_{cj}),
\]

where the summation is carried out for a set of tidal constituents. The amplitudes \( A_{cj} \) and phases \( \phi_{cj} \) describe the loading response for the chosen site. The astronomical argument \( \chi_j(t) \) for the 11 main tides can be computed with the subroutine ARG2.F, which can be obtained at <ftp://maia.usno.navy.mil/conventions/2010/2010_update/chapter7/software/>.
can be obtained from those of the 11 main tides by a variety of approximation schemes. For instance, if one wishes to include the effect of sidelobes of the main tides generated by modulation with the 18.6-year lunar node, then suitable adjustments in the 11 amplitudes and phases can be applied so that

\[ \Delta c = \sum_{k=1}^{11} f_k A_{ck} \cos(\chi_k(t) + u_k - \phi_{ck}), \]

where \( f_k \) and \( u_k \) depend on the longitude of the lunar node. See Scherneck (1999) for the expression of these arguments.

In more complete methods, the lesser tides are handled by interpolation of the admittances using some full tidal potential development (e.g. Hartmann and Wenzel, 1995). One of these methods has been chosen as the conventional IERS method, and has been implemented in a subroutine that is recommended as a conventional computation of the loading displacement (see sub-section “Conventional routine to compute the ocean loading displacement” below).

Note that complete neglect of the minor tides and nodal modulations, using (15) with only the 11 main tides, is not recommended and may lead to errors of several mm, up to 5 mm rms at high latitudes (Hugentobler, 2006).

Additional contributions to ocean-induced displacement arise from the frequency dependence of the load Love numbers due to the Nearly Diurnal Free Wobble in the diurnal tidal band. The effect of this dependence has been taken into account, following Wahr and Sasao (1981), by incrementing the body tide Love numbers as explained in Section 7.1.1.

Site-dependent tidal coefficients

For a given site, the amplitudes \( A_{cj} \) and phases \( \phi_{cj}, 1 \leq j \leq 11 \), for the 11 main tides may be obtained electronically from the ocean loading service site at <3>; see Scherneck (1991). They are provided in either the so-called BLQ format or in the HARPOS format. An example for the BLQ format is given in Table 7.5. Note that tangential displacements are to be taken positive in west and south directions. The service allows coefficients to be computed selectably from any of eighteen ocean tide models; see Table 7.4.

The accuracy of the ocean tide loading values depends on the errors in the ocean tide models, the errors in the Green’s function, the coastline representation and the numerical scheme of the loading computation itself. To have a correct representation of the water areas one normally uses a high resolution coastline of around 600 m to 2 km. Note that still some problems exist near Antarctica where one should use the real land coastline instead of the ice shelf edges. Different elastic Earth models produce different Green’s functions but their differences are small, less than 2%. Most numerical schemes to compute the loading are good to about 2-5%. Currently, the largest contributor to the uncertainty in the loading value are the errors in the ocean tide models. Therefore it is recommended to use the most recent ocean tide models (TPXO7.2, see <4> for a solution derived using tide gauge and TOPEX/Poseidon data; FES2004 for a hydrodynamic solution with altimetry data). However, older models might sometimes be preferred for internal consistency. Since many space geodesy stations are inland or near coasts, the accuracy of the tide models in the shelf areas is more crucial than in the open sea. Load convolution adopts land-sea masking according to the high resolution coastlines dataset included in the Generic Mapping Tools (GMT, Wessel and Smith, 1998). Ocean tide mass budgets have been constrained using a uniform co-oscillation oceanic layer. The integrating loading kernel employs a disk-generating Green’s function method (Farrell, 1972; Zschau, 1983; Scherneck, 1990).

When generating tables of amplitudes and phases using the ocean loading service, one has to answer the question “Do you want to correct your loading values for the [geocenter] motion?” Answering “No” means that the coefficients do not include the large-scale effect of the geocenter motion caused by the ocean tide. This is appropriate for station coordinates given in a “crust-fixed” frame that is not sensitive to the Earth’s center of mass.

3http://froste.oso.chalmers.se/loading
4http://volkov.oce.orst.edu/tides/TPXO7.2.html
Answering “Yes” means that the coefficients include the large-scale effect of the geocenter motion caused by the ocean tide. This is consistent with data analyses that realize a near-instantaneous “center of mass” frame using observations of satellite dynamics.

Table 7.4: Ocean tide models available at the automatic loading service.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model code</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Input</th>
<th>Resolution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schwiderski</td>
<td>Schwiderski (1980)</td>
<td>Tide gauge</td>
<td>1° × 1°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSR3.0, CSR4.0</td>
<td>Eanes (1994)</td>
<td>TOPEX/Poseidon altim.</td>
<td>1° × 1°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPXO5</td>
<td>Eanes and Bettadpur (1995)</td>
<td>T/P + Le Provost loading</td>
<td>0.5° × 0.5°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Egbert et al. (1994)</td>
<td>inverse hydrodyn. solution from T/P altim.</td>
<td>256 × 512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPXO6.2</td>
<td>Egbert et al. (2002), see &lt;4&gt;</td>
<td>idem</td>
<td>0.25° × 0.25°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPXO7.0, TPXO7.1</td>
<td>idem</td>
<td>idem</td>
<td>idem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FES94.1</td>
<td>Le Provost et al. (1994)</td>
<td>numerical model</td>
<td>0.5° × 0.5°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FES95.2</td>
<td>Le Provost et al. (1998)</td>
<td>num. model + assim. altim.</td>
<td>0.5° × 0.5°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FES98</td>
<td>Lefavre et al. (2000)</td>
<td>num. model + assim. tide gauges</td>
<td>0.25° × 0.25°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FES99</td>
<td>Lefavre et al. (2002)</td>
<td>numerical model + assim. tide gauges and altim.</td>
<td>0.25° × 0.25°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FES2004</td>
<td>Letellier (2004)</td>
<td>numerical model</td>
<td>0.125° × 0.125°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOT99.2b, GOT00.2</td>
<td>Ray (1999)</td>
<td>T/P</td>
<td>0.5° × 0.5°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOT4.7</td>
<td>idem</td>
<td>idem</td>
<td>idem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EOT08a</td>
<td>Savchenko et al. (2008)</td>
<td>Multi-mission altimetry</td>
<td>0.125° × 0.125°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG06a</td>
<td>Andersen (2006)</td>
<td>Multi-mission altimetry</td>
<td>0.5° × 0.5°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAO.99b</td>
<td>Matsumoto et al. (2000)</td>
<td>T/P assim.</td>
<td>0.5° × 0.5°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.5: Sample of an ocean loading table file in BLQ format. Each site record shows a header with information on the ocean tide model and the site name and geographic coordinates. First three rows of numbers designate amplitudes (meter), radial, west, south, followed by three lines with the corresponding phase values (degrees).

Columns designate partial tides $M_2, S_2, N_2, K_2, K_1, O_1, P_1, Q_1, M_f, M_m$, and $S_{ss}$.

---

Conventional routine to compute the ocean loading displacement

D. Agnew has provided a Fortran program (HARDISP.F) to compute the ocean tide loading displacements for a site, given the amplitudes $A_{cj}$ and phases $\phi_{cj}, 1 \leq j \leq 11$, as generated by the Bos-Scherneck website (in BLQ format, see above). The implementation considers a total of 342 constituent tides whose amplitudes and phases are found by spline interpolation of the tidal admittances based on the 11 main tides. Tests have been carried out showing that differences with an earlier version of HARDISP.F with 141 constituent tides are of order 0.1 mm rms. Comparisons with the ETERNA software of Wenzel (1996) have been carried out by M. Bos (2005), who
concludes that the routine is precise to about 1%. Uncertainties in the ocean models are generally larger. The code for the routine can be obtained at <http://froste.oso.chalmers.se/loading/cmc.html>.

**Center of mass correction**

If necessary, the crust-frame translation (geocenter motion) due to the ocean tidal mass, \(dX(t)\), \(dY(t)\), and \(dZ(t)\), may be computed according to the method given by Scherneck at <http:>^5^, e.g. for \(dX(t)\) as

\[
X_{\text{crust-fixed}} = X_{\text{center-of-mass}} - dX,
\]

where the in-phase \((\text{in})\) and cross-phase \((\text{cr})\) amplitudes (in meters) are tabulated for the various ocean models. Similarly for \(dY(t)\) and \(dZ(t)\). This correction should be applied, for instance, in the transformation of GPS orbits from the center-of-mass to the crust-fixed frame expected in the sp3 orbit format.
Elastic Green’s functions (versus frequency-dependent Green’s functions) are sufficient for this computation. By considering changes in viscoelasticity, Francis and Mazzega (1990) demonstrated that the amplitude of the $M_2$ ocean tidal radial surface displacement can vary by 1.5% and the phase by 3%, i.e. a negligible amount in comparison to uncertainties in the ocean tide model itself. A 1.5% effect on the $S_2$ radial displacement is 0.05 mm in amplitude which is certainly less than the uncertainty in the $S_1$ and $S_2$ pressure models and can be ignored.

In addition, differences in predicted displacements derived from different Green’s functions are on the order of 0.1 mm rms, so it seems unnecessary to generate corrections using Green’s functions derived for different Earth models. The three-dimensional surface displacements are determined by assuming that the oceans respond as the solid Earth to the load, i.e. no inverted barometer. At these frequencies, the ocean does not have time to achieve equilibrium. Furthermore, it should be noted that the ocean’s response to these atmospheric tides is already modeled separately through the site displacements due to ocean tidal loading described in Section 7.1.1.

The phase convention follows that of RP03. At any geographic location, at any time, the tidal deformation, expressed in terms of up, east and north components, is the sum of $d(u, e, n)_{S_1}$ and $d(u, e, n)_{S_2}$ defined as

\begin{align}
\begin{align}
d(u, e, n)_{S_1} &= A_{d1}(u, e, n) \cos(\omega_1 T) + B_{d1}(u, e, n) \sin(\omega_1 T) \\
d(u, e, n)_{S_2} &= A_{d2}(u, e, n) \cos(\omega_2 T) + B_{d2}(u, e, n) \sin(\omega_2 T),
\end{align}
\end{align}
where \( A_{d1}, B_{d1}, A_{d2}, B_{d2} \) are the surface displacement coefficients expressed in the same length unit as the deformation components, \( T \) is UT1 in days and \( \omega_1 \) and \( \omega_2 \) are the frequencies of the \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) atmospheric tides, e.g. \( \omega_1 = 1 \) cycle/day and \( \omega_2 = 2 \) cycles/day.

The surface displacement coefficients \( A_{d1}, B_{d1}, A_{d2}, B_{d2} \) are determined for each site by performing a global convolution sum of the Green’s functions with the \( \cos S_1, \sin S_1, \cos S_2, \sin S_2 \) pressure mass coefficients. Gridded values of the three-dimensional predicted surface displacements from the RP03 model may be found at <http://froste.oso.chalmers.se/loading/cmc.html>. Corrections for the vertical surface displacement are usually sufficient, whereas estimates of horizontal effects are provided for completeness. The grids are provided for the two fundamental reference frames used for geodetic data analysis: center of solid Earth (CE) and center of mass of Earth + atmosphere + ocean + water storage (CM). In most applications, e.g. corrections of satellite-based techniques at the observation level, the CM frame is most appropriate. A description of the grid indexing as well as a program *grdintrp.f* for interpolating the grids are also available at <http://geophy.uni.lu/ggfc-atmosphere/tide-loading-calculator.html>

### Center of mass correction

As with ocean tidal loading (see preceding section), it may be necessary to compute the crust-frame translation (geocenter motion) due to the atmospheric tidal mass. \( dX(t), dY(t), \) and \( dZ(t) \) may be computed according to the method given by Scherneck at <http://geophy.uni.lu/ggfc-atmosphere/tide-loading-calculator.html> for \( \omega_1 = 1 \) cycle/day and \( \omega_2 = 2 \) cycles/day and \( A_1, B_1, A_2, B_2 \) are the amplitudes of the in-phase and out-of-phase components of the atmospheric tides (in meters) and are given in Table 7.6 and in the file *com.dat* available at <http://geophy.uni.lu/ggfc-atmosphere/tide-loading-calculator.html>. As with ocean tidal loading (see preceding section), this correction should be applied in transforming GPS orbits from the center-of-mass to the crust-fixed frame expected in the sp3 orbit format.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( A_1 )</th>
<th>( B_1 )</th>
<th>( A_2 )</th>
<th>( B_2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( dX )</td>
<td>2.1188E-04</td>
<td>-7.6861E-04</td>
<td>1.4472E-04</td>
<td>-1.7844E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( dY )</td>
<td>-7.2766E-04</td>
<td>-2.3582E-04</td>
<td>-3.2691E-04</td>
<td>-1.5878E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( dZ )</td>
<td>-1.2176E-05</td>
<td>3.2243E-05</td>
<td>-9.6271E-05</td>
<td>1.6976E-05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 7.1.4 Rotational deformation due to polar motion: Secular polar motion and the pole tide.

Changes in the direction of the Earth’s rotation axis with respect to locations on the Earth’s surface cause local deformations (Desai, 2002) that result in variations in station coordinates up to a few centimeters. To model these effects, it is convenient to use a conventional representation of the low-frequency motion of the Earth’s rotation axis with respect to the terrestrial reference system free of the principal high-frequency periodic motions.<sup>6</sup> This representation is provided by a linear representation called the secular pole<sup>7</sup> derived from a least-squares fit to the polar motion observations from 1900 through 2017. The coordinates of that secular pole designated \( (x_s, y_s) \) and are given in milliarcseconds by

\[
x_s = 55.0 + 1.677 \ast (t - 2000), \quad y_s = 320.5 + 3.460 \ast (t - 2000),
\]

where \( t \) is the date in years of 365.25 days.

In a terrestrial reference system with the \( \hat{z} \)-axis oriented along the mean rotation axis of the Earth, the \( \hat{x} \)-axis directed toward the adopted origin of longitude, and the \( \hat{y} \)-axis in the plane of the 90°

<sup>6</sup>http://geophy.uni.lu/ggfc-atmosphere/tide-loading-calculator.html
<sup>7</sup>http://froste.oso.chalmers.se/loading/cmc.html
<sup>8</sup>In previous IERS Conventions, the “mean pole” concept had been used to represent the low-frequency motion.
<sup>9</sup>The secular variation of a time series is its long-term, non-periodic variation.
E meridian and orthogonal to the \( \hat{x} \) and \( \hat{z} \)-axis, the centrifugal potential caused by the Earth’s rotation at a point on the Earth’s surface is given by

\[
V = \frac{1}{2} \left[ |\vec{r}|^2 |\vec{\Omega}|^2 - (\vec{r} \cdot \vec{\Omega})^2 \right],
\]

\( \vec{\Omega} = |\vec{\Omega}| [m_1 \hat{x} + m_2 \hat{y} + (1 + m_3) \hat{z}], \)

where, \( m_1, m_2 \) describe the time-dependent offset of the instantaneous rotation pole from \((x_s, y_s)\), \( m_3 \) is the fractional variation in the rotation rate, and \( \vec{r} \) is the geocentric vector directed toward the site. Neglecting the variations in \( m_3 \) which induce displacements that are below the millimeter level, the \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) terms produce a perturbation in the potential \( V \) at a site with longitude \( \lambda \) and co-latitude \( \theta \) (Wahr, 1985):

\[
\Delta V (r, \theta, \lambda) = \frac{|\vec{\Omega}|^2 |\vec{r}|^2}{2} \sin 2\theta (m_1 \cos \lambda + m_2 \sin \lambda).
\]

(23)

The radial displacement \( S_r \) and the horizontal displacements \( S_\theta \) and \( S_\lambda \) (positive upwards, south and east, respectively in a horizon system at the station) due to \( \Delta V \) are obtained using the formulation of tidal Love numbers \( h_2 \) and \( \ell_2 \) (Munk and MacDonald, 1960):

\[
S_\theta = \frac{\ell_2}{g} \partial_\theta \Delta V, \quad S_\lambda = \frac{\ell_2}{g} \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \partial_\lambda \Delta V, \quad S_r = h_2 \frac{\Delta V}{g},
\]

(24)

The variables \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) can be derived from the polar motion variables \((x_p, y_p)\) defined in Chapter 5:

\[
m_1 = x_p - x_s, \quad m_2 = -(y_p - y_s).
\]

(25)

Using Love number values appropriate to the frequency of the pole tide \((h_2 = 0.6207, \ell_2 = 0.0836)\) and \( |\vec{r}| = a = 6.378 \times 10^6 \) m, the displacements in millimeters are

\[
S_\theta = -9 \cos 2\theta (m_1 \cos \lambda + m_2 \sin \lambda),
\]

\[
S_\lambda = 9 \cos \theta (m_1 \sin \lambda - m_2 \cos \lambda),
\]

\[
S_r = -33 \sin 2\theta (m_1 \cos \lambda + m_2 \sin \lambda),
\]

(26)

with \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) given in seconds of arc. Note that the values of the Love numbers include the anelastic contributions to the real part that induce a contribution to the displacement of order 1 mm, but do not include the contributions to the imaginary part, whose effects are about 5 times smaller. Taking into account that \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) vary, at most, by 0.8 arcseconds, the maximum radial displacement is approximately 25 mm, and the maximum horizontal displacement is about 7 mm.

If \( X, Y, \) and \( Z \) are Cartesian coordinates of a station in a right-handed equatorial coordinate system, the changes in them due to the pole tide are

\[
[dX, dY, dZ]^T = R^T [S_\theta, S_\lambda, S_r]^T,
\]

(27)

where

\[
R = \begin{pmatrix}
\cos \theta \cos \lambda & \cos \theta \sin \lambda & -\sin \theta \\
-\sin \lambda & \cos \lambda & 0 \\
\sin \theta \cos \lambda & \sin \theta \sin \lambda & \cos \theta
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

(28)
Figure 7.2: Loading from ocean pole tide: Amplitude as a function of the amplitude of wobble variable.
7.1.5 Ocean pole tide loading

The ocean pole tide is generated by the centrifugal effect of polar motion on the oceans. This centrifugal effect is defined in equation (22) of Section 6.4. Polar motion is dominated by the 14-month Chandler wobble and annual variations. At these long periods, the ocean pole tide is expected to have an equilibrium response, where the displaced ocean surface is in equilibrium with the forcing equipotential surface.

Desai (2002) presents a self-consistent equilibrium model of the ocean pole tide. This model accounts for continental boundaries, mass conservation over the oceans, self-gravitation, and loading of the ocean floor. Using this model, the load of the ocean pole tide produces the following deformation vector at any point on the surface of the Earth with latitude $\phi$ and longitude $\lambda$. The load deformation vector is expressed here in terms of radial, north and east components, $u_r$, $u_n$, and $u_e$, respectively, and is a function of the wobble parameters $(m_1, m_2)$.

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
u_r(\phi, \lambda) \\
u_n(\phi, \lambda) \\
u_e(\phi, \lambda)
\end{bmatrix} = K \left\{ (m_1 \gamma_2^R + m_2 \gamma_2^n) \begin{bmatrix}
u^R_r(\phi, \lambda) \\
u^R_n(\phi, \lambda) \\
u^R_e(\phi, \lambda)
\end{bmatrix} + (m_2 \gamma_2^R - m_1 \gamma_2^n) \begin{bmatrix}
u^I_r(\phi, \lambda) \\
u^I_n(\phi, \lambda) \\
u^I_e(\phi, \lambda)
\end{bmatrix} \right\}
\]

(29)

where

\[
K = \frac{4\pi G a_E \rho_e H_p}{3g_e}
\]

and $\Omega, a_E, GM, g_e,$ and $G$ are defined in Chapter 1,
\[
\rho_e = \text{density of sea water} = 1025 \text{ kg m}^{-3},
\]
\[
\gamma = (1 + k_2 - h_2) = \gamma_2^R + i \gamma_2^n = 0.6870 + 0.0036i
\]
Values of $k_2$ and $h_2$ appropriate for the pole tide are as given in Sections 6.2 and 7.1.4.
\[
(m_1, m_2) \text{ are the wobble parameters. Refer to Section 7.1.4 for the relationship between the wobble variables } (m_1, m_2) \text{ and the polar motion variables } (x_p, y_p).
\]
\[
\nu^R_r(\phi, \lambda), \nu^R_n(\phi, \lambda), \nu^R_e(\phi, \lambda) \text{ are the real part of the ocean pole load tide coefficients.}
\]
\[
\nu^I_r(\phi, \lambda), \nu^I_n(\phi, \lambda), \nu^I_e(\phi, \lambda) \text{ are the imaginary part of the ocean pole load tide coefficients.}
\]

Maps of the required ocean pole load tide coefficients are available on an equally spaced 0.5 by 0.5 degree global grid at $<10>$. These coefficients provide the surface deformations with respect to the instantaneous center of mass of the deformed Earth including the mass of the loading ocean pole tide. Note that in (29) pole load tide coefficients are dimensionless so that the load deformation vector is expressed in the same length unit as $K$ if the wobble parameters are expressed in rd.

The amplitude of this loading deformation is shown in Figure 7.2 in mm per arcsecond as a function of the amplitude $m$ of the wobble components $(m_1, m_2)$. Given that the amplitude of the wobble variable is typically of order 0.3 arcseconds, the load deformation is typically no larger than about $(1.8, 0.5, 0.5)$ mm in (radial, north, east) component, but it may occasionally be larger.

7.2 Models for other non-conventional displacement of reference markers on the crust

It is envisioned that this section describes methods of modeling non-tidal displacements associated with changing environmental loads, e.g. from atmosphere, ocean and hydrology. For this purpose, models should be made available to the user community through the IERS Global Geophysical Fluid Center and its special bureaux, together with all necessary supporting information, implementation documentation, and software.

At the time of this registered edition of the *IERS Conventions*, it is recommended not to include such modeling in operational solutions that support products and services of the IERS. Nevertheless, the non-tidal loading effects can be considered in other studies, and this section will be updated as adopted models become available.

### 7.3 Models for the displacement of reference points of instruments

This section lists effects which are to be considered when relating the reference point of an instrument used in a given technique to a marker that may be used as a reference by other techniques. Typical examples are antenna phase center offsets. These effects are technique-dependent and the conventional models for these effects are kept and updated by the technique services participating to the IERS: The IVS 11 for very long baseline interferometry, the ILRS 12 for satellite laser ranging, the IGS 13 for global navigation satellite systems and the IDS 14 for DORIS. This section provides a short description of these models and directs the user to the original source of information.

#### 7.3.1 Models common to several techniques

As some of the effects depend on local environmental conditions, conventional models for these effects need to be based on a reference value for local temperature. A conventional model to determine reference temperature is given below.

**Reference temperature**

If necessary, it is recommended to determine the reference temperature values with the model GPT (Boehm *et al.*, 2007) which is based on a spherical harmonic expansion of degree and order 9 with an annual periodicity, and is provided as a Fortran routine, GPT.F, at 15 and 16. The arguments of the routine are described in its header. The model assumes a yearly signature and no secular variation, so should not impact secular terms in the modeled geodetic data. If only a constant reference temperature is needed (no yearly term), the model value at the 119th day of year, 07:30 UTC (e.g. MJD 44357.3125) should be used.

#### 7.3.2 Very long baseline interferometry

**Thermal expansion**

VLBI antennas are subject to structural deformations due to temperature variations that can cause variations in the VLBI delay exceeding 10 ps. Correspondingly, the coordinates of the reference point may vary by several mm. For this reason the IVS has developed a model for VLBI antenna thermal deformation (Nothnagel, 2008) that is to be used in its routine product generation. The conventional model for VLBI antenna thermal deformation may be found at 17.

#### 7.3.3 Global navigation satellite systems

**Antenna phase center offsets and variations**

The exact phase center position of the transmitting as well as of the receiving antenna depends on the line of sight from the satellite to the receiver. This anisotropy is modeled by a phase

---

11http://ivscc.gsfc.nasa.gov/
12http://ilrs.gsfc.nasa.gov/
13http://igs.org/
14http://ids.cls.fr/
16http://www.hg.tuwien.ac.at/~ecmwf1
17http://vlbi.geod.uni-bonn.de/IVS-AC/Conventions/Chapter1.html
center offset from a physical reference point to the mean electrical phase center together with its corresponding elevation- and azimuth-dependent variations. Since November 2006, the IGS applies consistent absolute phase center corrections for satellite and receiver antennas (Schmid et al., 2007). The current model is available at <ftp://igs.org/igscb/station/general/igs05.atx>.
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